



Contemporary Political Scenario in India: Democratic Resilience, Political Polarisation, Youth Mobilisation and the Challenges of Inclusive Governance

Dr. Mintu Pathak

Associate Professor, Tangla College. Email: mintupathak04@gmail.com

Article Information

Received: 09/06/2026,
Revised: 10/07/2026
Acceptance: 17/07/2026,
Published: 25/07/2026

ABSTRACT

India's contemporary political environment reflects a complex interaction of electoral competition, institutional continuity, political polarisation, federal tensions, economic aspirations, youth mobilisation and changing forms of political communication. As the world's largest electoral democracy, India continues to demonstrate strong electoral participation and competitive politics, although democratic institutions increasingly function amid intense political contestation. The 2024 Lok Sabha election reshaped the parliamentary balance and strengthened the significance of coalition politics. At the same time, concerns over employment, examination integrity, educational opportunities and institutional accountability have increased the political engagement of young citizens. Youth-led mobilisation in 2026 demonstrates how digitally connected citizens can transform specific grievances into broader demands for accountability. This article examines India's contemporary political scenario through a qualitative and analytical approach based mainly on secondary sources. It analyses electoral politics, party competition, parliamentary functioning, federalism, political polarisation, youth politics, employment, social media, institutional accountability and India's changing international position. The study argues that Indian democracy should be understood neither solely through democratic decline nor through assumptions of uninterrupted institutional strength, but as democratic resilience operating under significant institutional and societal pressures. It recommends strengthening parliamentary deliberation, federal consultation, electoral transparency, youth participation, employment-oriented governance, institutional accountability, media literacy and constitutional education. India's democratic sustainability will depend on converting electoral legitimacy into inclusive, accountable and responsive governance.

Keywords

Indian politics, democracy, political polarisation, youth politics, federalism, electoral politics, governance, democratic resilience

1. Introduction

India occupies a distinctive position in contemporary global politics. Its enormous population, social diversity, constitutional framework, electoral scale and expanding economic influence make its political development important not only for South Asia but also for the wider international community. Since independence, India has maintained a parliamentary democratic system despite substantial social, linguistic, religious, regional and economic diversity. Regular elections, peaceful transfers of power, constitutional institutions and a competitive party system have provided the basic foundations of democratic governance.

However, the political environment of contemporary India cannot be understood exclusively through the existence of elections. Democracy involves considerably more than periodic voting. It also requires effective representation, legislative deliberation, institutional accountability, protection of rights, meaningful political participation, federal cooperation and public confidence in democratic institutions. The quality of contemporary democracy therefore depends on the relationship between formal institutions and actual political practices.

The 2024 Lok Sabha election represented an important moment in this regard. The election produced a parliamentary configuration different from the preceding decade, with the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) remaining the largest party but no longer possessing a single-party majority in the Lok Sabha. The National Democratic Alliance (NDA) consequently became more significant for government formation and parliamentary management. The official Election Commission of India records the 2024 general election as a major nationwide electoral exercise conducted across multiple phases.

The post-2024 political environment has consequently involved stronger coalition dynamics, more assertive Opposition politics and greater parliamentary contestation. At the same time, political disagreements have increasingly extended beyond Parliament into streets, universities, digital platforms and civil society.

Another important characteristic of present-day Indian politics is the growing political importance of young citizens. India's demographic structure gives young people enormous electoral and social significance. However, demographic strength does not automatically translate into economic security. The International Labour Organization's India Employment Report 2024 identifies youth employment, education and skills as central challenges in India's labour-market development. The report also notes important changes in youth labour-market indicators over time.

These economic questions have increasingly acquired political significance. The 2026 youth-led protest mobilisation surrounding examination irregularities and employment-related frustrations demonstrated that young Indians are capable of converting digital communication, satire and social-media mobilisation into collective political action. International reporting described the movement as a significant youth challenge to established political institutions.

At the institutional level, Parliament has remained an important arena of political conflict. The 2026 Monsoon Session demonstrated the continuing tension between legislative productivity and political confrontation. Reports on the session described substantial disruption and disagreement between the government and Opposition, even though several Bills were ultimately passed.

These developments raise an important research question: How should India's present political condition be interpreted when electoral competition remains strong but political polarisation, institutional tensions, employment insecurity and public distrust increasingly challenge the functioning of democratic governance?

This article argues that India's contemporary political system is best understood as a case of democratic resilience under pressure. The country retains powerful democratic mechanisms, but their effectiveness depends increasingly upon institutional restraint, political dialogue, social inclusion and the ability of governments to respond meaningfully to citizens' changing expectations.

2. Statement of the Problem:

The central problem addressed in this study is the changing relationship between India's formal democratic institutions and the political, economic and social pressures affecting their functioning.

India possesses an extensive constitutional and institutional structure, including Parliament, an independent judiciary, an Election Commission, state governments, political parties, civil society organisations and a diverse media environment. Nevertheless, institutional existence alone does not guarantee effective democratic governance.

Three interconnected developments make the present situation particularly significant.

First, political competition has become increasingly polarised. Electoral competition is frequently accompanied by strong ideological disagreement and identity-based political mobilisation. While competition is an essential element of

democracy, excessive polarisation can weaken political dialogue and make compromise more difficult.

Second, institutional disagreement has become increasingly visible. Government–Opposition confrontation, parliamentary disruptions and disagreements over federal authority demonstrate the difficulty of maintaining institutional cooperation in a highly competitive political environment.

Third, social and economic expectations are changing rapidly. Young citizens are increasingly politically informed through digital platforms and are demanding employment, educational opportunity, transparency and institutional accountability. When public institutions fail to respond effectively, economic grievances may become political grievances.

The problem, therefore, is not simply whether Indian democracy exists. The more important question is whether democratic institutions are sufficiently responsive, inclusive and accountable to meet the political expectations of a rapidly changing society.

3. Objectives of the Study:

The study has the following objectives:

- a) To examine the major dimensions of India's contemporary political scenario.
- b) To analyse changes in electoral competition and the party system after the 2024 Lok Sabha election.
- c) To examine the nature of government-opposition relations.
- d) To analyse contemporary challenges to parliamentary functioning.
- e) To examine the changing nature of centre-state relations.
- f) To analyse the relationship between political polarisation and democratic governance.
- g) To examine the growing political significance of India's youth.
- h) To analyse employment and educational insecurity as emerging political issues.
- i) To examine the role of social media in contemporary political participation.
- j) To identify major challenges to inclusive and accountable governance.
- k) To assess the prospects for democratic resilience in India.
- l) To propose policy recommendations for strengthening democratic governance.

4. Research Questions:

The study attempts to answer the following questions:

- a) What are the major dimensions of India's contemporary political scenario?
- b) How has the 2024 Lok Sabha election influenced electoral competition and the party system in India?
- c) What is the nature of contemporary government–Opposition relations in India?
- d) What are the major challenges affecting parliamentary functioning in contemporary India?
- e) How is the nature of Centre–State relations changing in the present political environment?
- f) How does political polarisation influence democratic governance in India?
- g) What is the growing political significance of youth in contemporary Indian politics?
- h) How are employment and educational insecurity emerging as important political issues among Indian youth?
- i) What role does social media play in contemporary political participation and mobilisation?

- j) What are the major challenges to achieving inclusive and accountable governance in India?
- k) What are the prospects for strengthening democratic resilience in contemporary India?
- l) What policy measures can strengthen democratic governance, institutional accountability and political participation in India?

5. Theoretical Framework:

The theoretical framework provides the conceptual foundation for analysing India's contemporary political environment. Since the study examines democratic governance, political diversity, Centre-State relations and socio-economic challenges, it draws upon four complementary perspectives-democratic resilience, pluralism, federalism and political economy. Together, these perspectives help explain the changing nature of Indian politics and its institutional and societal dimensions.

5.1 Democratic Resilience:

Democratic resilience refers to the capacity of democratic institutions and political actors to withstand political, social and economic pressures without abandoning democratic principles. The concept is useful for analysing India because the country simultaneously demonstrates institutional continuity and significant political tensions.

Democratic resilience does not imply the absence of conflict. On the contrary, conflict is normal in democratic politics. The critical issue is whether political conflicts are managed through constitutional institutions, elections, negotiation and peaceful participation.

From this perspective, India's contemporary political system can be examined through the interaction of electoral competition, institutional accountability, civil society participation and political responsiveness.

5.2 Pluralism:

Pluralist theory provides another useful perspective. Indian society consists of numerous linguistic, religious, ethnic, regional, caste and ideological groups. Democratic stability therefore requires mechanisms through which different interests can compete without permanently excluding one another.

Political competition becomes constructive when differences are transformed into policy alternatives. It becomes destabilising when political identities are treated as mutually exclusive categories.

5.3 Federalism:

Federalism is central to understanding Indian politics. India's political system distributes authority between the Union and States while simultaneously providing substantial powers to the central government.

Contemporary political disputes over resources, taxation, administrative authority, investigative agencies, governors, development schemes and representation illustrate the continuing importance of Centre-State relations.

5.4 Political Economy:

Political economy connects political legitimacy with economic performance and distribution. Economic growth can strengthen political legitimacy, but aggregate growth does not automatically guarantee employment, equality or social security.

India's youth employment challenge demonstrates this distinction. The ILO's 2024 report emphasises the importance of education, skills and employment transitions for young Indians.

6. Review of Literature:

Existing scholarship on Indian democracy can broadly be divided into several approaches.

The first approach focuses on India's democratic continuity. Scholars of Indian politics have emphasised the country's ability to sustain electoral democracy despite enormous social diversity. The endurance of constitutional institutions, competitive elections and political participation constitutes a major feature of this literature.

The second approach examines political parties and party-system transformation. India's party system has moved

through several phases, from Congress dominance to coalition politics and subsequently toward a period of strong BJP dominance at the national level. The 2024 election introduced another significant stage by making alliance politics more consequential at the national level.

The third body of literature examines federalism. Scholars have demonstrated that Indian federalism is not static. Its operation changes according to party competition, economic relationships, regional demands and the political balance between the Union and States.

A fourth body of scholarship examines political communication. The expansion of television, social media and digital platforms has transformed political campaigning and citizen participation. Political communication has become faster, more personalised and increasingly emotional.

A fifth area concerns democratic backsliding and institutional accountability. International democracy assessments have raised concerns about political rights, civil liberties and institutional pressures in India. Freedom House's 2026 assessment, for example, continues to classify India as "Partly Free." Such assessments provide useful comparative perspectives, although they should be interpreted alongside India's electoral institutions and domestic political processes.

The existing literature provides valuable insights but often examines electoral politics, institutions, economics or digital participation separately. The present study attempts to connect these dimensions within a single analytical framework.

7. Research Methodology:

The study follows a qualitative research approach. An analytical and explanatory research design has been adopted to understand the major political developments and their implications for democratic governance. The study is based primarily on secondary sources, including books, peer-reviewed research articles, government reports, election commission documents, international organisation reports, parliamentary information, reputed newspapers and international news agencies, reports of research institutions and relevant constitutional and legal documents

7.1 Method of Analysis:

The collected information has been analysed through historical analysis, content analysis, comparative analysis, thematic interpretation and institutional analysis

7.2 Scope:

The study primarily focuses on the political developments of India from the 2024 Lok Sabha election to August 2026, while using earlier developments where necessary for historical explanation.

7.3 Limitations:

The study is based on secondary information and therefore does not include original survey data. Contemporary political events are also continuously changing. Consequently, interpretations presented here should be understood as an analytical assessment of the period under study rather than a permanent description of Indian politics.

8. Contemporary Political Scenario in India:

The 2024 Lok Sabha election marked an important transformation in India's national political environment. Although the BJP remained the largest individual party, it did not secure a single-party majority and therefore depended more significantly on its alliance partners. This altered the political context in several ways like- coalition management became more important, regional parties acquired greater bargaining significance, the opposition received greater parliamentary space, legislative negotiations became more politically consequential. The post-2024 environment therefore demonstrates that electoral dominance and institutional dominance are not necessarily identical.

8.1. Political Polarisation:

Political polarisation is one of the most visible characteristics of contemporary Indian politics.

India's ideological competition increasingly involves disagreements over nationalism, secularism, religion, caste, regional identity, economic policy, welfare and the interpretation of constitutional values. Political competition itself is not problematic. Democracy requires disagreement. The challenge arises when political opponents are portrayed not simply as competitors but as threats to national identity or social order.

Excessive polarisation can produce several consequences such as reduction of political dialogue, growth of political intolerance, decline in institutional trust, greater social division, increased misinformation and difficulty in policy compromise.

At the same time, polarisation can increase political participation by motivating citizens to engage more strongly with political issues. Therefore, the objective should not be to eliminate political disagreement but to institutionalise disagreement within democratic boundaries.

8.2. Government-Opposition Relations:

Effective parliamentary democracy requires both a capable government and a credible opposition.

The government requires sufficient authority to implement policies, while the opposition requires adequate institutional space to question government decisions. contemporary Indian politics has frequently witnessed intense disagreement between the government and opposition. Parliamentary disruptions, protests, walkouts and competing narratives have become prominent elements of political communication.

The 2026 Monsoon session illustrated this tension. Reports indicated significant disruptions while legislative business continued and several bills were passed. From a democratic perspective, neither unlimited government control nor permanent opposition obstruction is desirable.

A healthier parliamentary culture would require regular consultation, strong committee systems, greater use of all-party meetings, clearer rules concerning disruptions, greater transparency in legislative scheduling and ore systematic debate on major legislation.

8.3. Parliament and Institutional Accountability:

Parliament remains the central institution for democratic representation at the national level. However, legislative effectiveness should not be measured only by the number of Bills passed. Quality of debate, committee examination, Opposition participation and public accountability are equally important. When legislation is passed without adequate discussion, citizens may have limited opportunities to understand its implications. Strengthening parliamentary committees could therefore become one of the most important democratic reforms in contemporary India.

Committee-based scrutiny provides an opportunity for the expert consultation, stakeholder participation, cross-party discussion, technical examination and identification of unintended consequences. The objective should be to transform Parliament from a primarily confrontational arena into a stronger institution of deliberative governance.

8.4. Federalism and Centre-State Relations:

India's federal structure is increasingly important because regional political parties have become influential in national politics. The Centre-State tensions can arise over the financial distribution, taxation, centrally sponsored schemes, governors, administrative authority, law-enforcement jurisdiction, development priorities, natural resources, language and representation and delimitation.

Federal disagreement is not inherently harmful. Healthy federalism permits different political parties and governments to pursue alternative policy approaches. The challenge occurs when political competition between the Union and States undermines administrative cooperation. India therefore needs a stronger culture of cooperative and competitive federalism simultaneously.

8.5. Youth, Employment and Political Expectations:

One of the most important dimensions of India's current political scenario is the increasing political importance of young people. India possesses an enormous youth population. This demographic advantage can become a major economic and political asset if young citizens receive education, skills, employment and meaningful opportunities for participation.

However, employment remains a significant challenge. The ILO's India Employment Report 2024 places youth employment, education and skills at the centre of India's labour-market discussion. It also identifies important changes in labour-force participation and unemployment patterns after 2019.

The political significance of this problem is substantial. A young graduate who cannot find suitable employment may gradually lose confidence in institutions that promise development and opportunity. Employment therefore becomes not merely an economic issue but a question of political legitimacy.

The events of 2026 demonstrate this relationship clearly. Youth mobilisation surrounding examination irregularities expanded beyond a narrow education grievance into broader demands for accountability and institutional reform. International reports described the movement as a significant youth-led challenge to established political structures. The lesson is clear that India's demographic dividend will become a democratic dividend only when young citizens experience meaningful economic and political inclusion.

8.6. Youth Political Mobilisation and the 2026 Protest Movement:

The 2026 youth mobilisation deserves special attention because it demonstrates a new form of political participation.

Unlike traditional party-led mobilisation, digitally connected young citizens can easily identify a grievance, create a common political narrative, mobilise supporters through social media, organise physical demonstrations, attract national media attention, influence political discourse and also have the power pressure institutions to respond.

The movement associated with the “Cockroach Janta Party” became an example of this emerging political style. Reports indicated that the mobilisation grew from concerns over examination irregularities and unemployment and developed into a wider demand for institutional accountability.

Its significance should not be reduced to the specific protest organisation. The broader phenomenon is more important: young Indians increasingly possess the capacity to create political pressure without depending entirely on traditional political parties. This may have long-term consequences for Indian party politics.

8.7. Social Media and Digital Democracy:

Digital communication has transformed Indian political participation. Especially social media provides citizens with immediate access to political information, direct communication with politicians, opportunities for political mobilisation, alternative sources of news, space for political satire and rapid organisation of campaigns.

However, digital politics also creates serious challenges. These include- misinformation, deep fakes, hate speech, political manipulation, echo chambers, coordinated propaganda and online harassment.

The challenge is to develop digital democratic institutions without unnecessarily restricting legitimate political expression. Digital literacy should therefore become a central component of democratic citizenship.

8.8. Identity Politics and Social Cohesion:

India's social diversity makes identity politics unavoidable. Religion, caste, language, ethnicity and regional identity have historically influenced Indian politics. Identity-based mobilisation can provide representation to historically marginalised groups. It can also produce political conflict when identities are presented in exclusionary terms.

The democratic objective should therefore not be the elimination of identity politics. Instead, political institutions should encourage inclusive representation, constitutional equality, protection of minority rights, inter-community dialogue, equal access to public institutions and non-discriminatory public policy. Social cohesion does not require uniformity. It requires citizens to accept political and cultural differences while sharing commitment to constitutional democracy.

8.9. Institutional Trust and Democratic Accountability:

Public trust represents an important foundation of democratic governance. Citizens are more likely to accept political decisions when they believe that institutions operate fairly. Institutional trust can be weakened by perceived corruption, lack of transparency, delayed justice, unequal access to institutions, excessive political confrontation, lack of accountability and poor public communication.

International assessments have raised concerns about civil liberties and institutional pressures in India. Freedom House's 2026 country assessment, for example, continues to classify India as “Partly Free.” Such external assessments should not be treated as definitive descriptions of Indian democracy. Nevertheless, they provide a useful comparative perspective and indicate areas that deserve systematic examination.

The appropriate response is not simply to reject criticism but to strengthen institutional transparency so that democratic institutions can demonstrate their legitimacy through performance.

8.10. Economic Development and Political Legitimacy:

Economic growth remains an important source of political legitimacy. India's rapid economic expansion has strengthened its international position and increased expectations regarding its emergence as a major global power. Recent economic assessments continue to describe robust growth prospects, although structural concerns remain. Fitch Ratings reaffirmed India's BBB- sovereign rating with a stable outlook in August 2026 while also identifying structural challenges including relatively low GDP per capita and youth-employment risks.

The central political challenge is therefore not simply growth but inclusive growth. The political value of development depends upon whether ordinary citizens experience employment, better education, affordable healthcare, infrastructure, social security, income security and economic mobility. If national economic indicators improve while citizens perceive limited personal improvement, a gap may emerge between macroeconomic success and political satisfaction.

8.11. India's International Political Position:

India's contemporary domestic politics also interacts with its international role. India seeks to maintain strategic autonomy while simultaneously strengthening relationships with major global powers and participating actively in multilateral institutions.

Its international priorities include strategic autonomy, Indo-Pacific security, relations with the United States, relations with Russia, engagement with Europe, relations with China, neighbourhood diplomacy, global South leadership, energy security and economic diplomacy

Domestic political stability is essential to sustaining India's growing international role. At the same time, foreign policy can influence domestic politics by shaping perceptions of nationalism, security and national identity.

9. Major Findings:

The study identifies the several major findings which as follows:

- a) Indian democracy remains electorally resilient and India continues to maintain competitive elections and substantial citizen participation.
- b) The 2024 election changed the parliamentary environment where the greater importance of alliance politics has altered the relationship between the government and regional parties.
- c) Political polarisation has intensified and political disagreement increasingly extends beyond policy into identity and ideological competition.
- d) Parliamentary confrontation remains significant. Government-opposition disagreements can reduce legislative deliberation when political conflict results in repeated disruption.
- e) Federal relations require greater institutional cooperation basically centre- state political competition increasingly requires stronger mechanisms of consultation.
- f) Youth employment is becoming a political issue and economic insecurity among educated young people can directly affect political confidence.
- g) Youth political participation is changing due digital connectivity. Digitally connected young citizens can mobilise rapidly without relying exclusively on traditional political parties.
- h) Social media has expanded democratic participation and digital platforms have created new opportunities for political engagement but simultaneously increased misinformation risks.
- i) Institutional trust is increasingly important and therefore citizens expect greater transparency, accountability and responsiveness from public institutions.
- j) India's democratic future depends upon inclusion. Such as economic development, political representation and institutional accountability must advance together.

10. Recommendations:

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen democratic governance, institutional accountability, political participation and inclusive development in contemporary India. These

measures seek to improve parliamentary functioning, federal cooperation, youth participation, electoral transparency, digital awareness and responsible political communication.

- a) Strengthen Parliamentary Deliberation: Important Bills should receive adequate debate and committee scrutiny. Parliamentary productivity should be measured not only by the number of laws passed but also by the quality of legislative examination.
- b) Institutionalise Government-Opposition Dialogue: Regular formal consultations between government and Opposition leaders should be encouraged, particularly before major constitutional, electoral or economic legislation.
- c) Strengthen Parliamentary Committees: More Bills of major national significance should be referred to standing or select committees.
- d) Establish Stronger Federal Consultation: The Union government and State governments should use institutional mechanisms for resolving disagreements over finances, administration and development.
- e) Develop a National Youth Employment Strategy: Employment policy should focus on job creation, apprenticeships, vocational education, entrepreneurship and formal-sector employment rather than relying primarily on degree expansion.
- f) Reform Competitive Examination Systems: Examination agencies should adopt stronger cybersecurity, transparent recruitment procedures, independent audits and rapid grievance-redress mechanisms.
- g) Increase Youth Representation in Politics: Political parties should create transparent pathways for young people to enter party leadership, policy committees and elected institutions.
- h) Strengthen Electoral Transparency: Political finance, campaign expenditure and relevant electoral information should be presented in accessible formats so that citizens can evaluate political competition more effectively.
- i) Promote Digital Constitutional Literacy: Universities and educational institutions should teach students how to distinguish verified information from misinformation and understand constitutional rights and democratic responsibilities.
- j) Protect Peaceful Political Participation: Peaceful protest should remain an important component of democratic citizenship. At the same time, protest movements should respect public safety and constitutional limitations.
- k) Improve Institutional Accountability: Public institutions should develop transparent mechanisms for investigating complaints and publishing performance information.
- l) Encourage Responsible Political Communication: Political parties should discourage misinformation, communal incitement and deliberately misleading communication.
- m) Strengthen Media Independence and Professional Standards: A healthy democracy requires both freedom of the media and responsible journalism. Fact-checking and professional editorial standards should be strengthened.
- n) Promote Inclusive Development: Economic policies should be evaluated not only through GDP growth but also through employment, income distribution, social mobility and regional development.
- o) Strengthen Civic Education: Schools and universities should provide greater education concerning the Constitution, Parliament, elections, federalism, fundamental rights and democratic responsibilities.

11. Discussion:

The contemporary political situation of India demonstrates the complexity of governing a highly diverse democracy during a period of rapid economic, technological and social change. The strongest argument against simplistic interpretations is that India simultaneously displays signs of democratic strength and democratic stress.

Electoral participation remains substantial. Political parties continue to compete. Regional parties remain influential. Citizens mobilise around policy issues. Governments remain subject to electoral accountability.

At the same time, political polarisation, institutional confrontation, employment insecurity, social tensions and digital misinformation create substantial challenges.

The recent youth mobilisation illustrates this contradiction particularly well. On one side, the movement demonstrates dissatisfaction with institutional performance. On the other, the fact that young citizens could mobilise nationally through digital platforms demonstrates the continuing vitality of participatory politics. Reports of the 2026 movement show how an issue originating in examination and employment concerns could develop into a wider political accountability campaign. Therefore, democratic resilience should not be interpreted as passive institutional survival. It should mean the ability of institutions to listen, adapt and respond.

This is particularly important for India's young population. If young citizens find democratic institutions responsive, employment opportunities meaningful and political participation accessible, demographic change can strengthen democracy. If they experience unemployment, institutional unfairness and political exclusion, demographic advantage can instead become a source of political instability.

12. Conclusion:

India's present political scenario represents a critical phase in the evolution of the world's largest democracy. The country continues to possess strong constitutional foundations, competitive elections and extensive political participation. However, contemporary democratic governance is increasingly influenced by political polarisation, government-opposition confrontation, federal tensions, youth employment concerns, digital misinformation and changing expectations regarding institutional accountability.

The 2024 Lok Sabha election demonstrated that electoral politics in India remains capable of producing significant political change. The subsequent political environment has reinforced the importance of coalition management, regional parties and parliamentary Opposition. Meanwhile, the political significance of India's youth has expanded considerably. Employment, education, examination integrity and economic opportunity are no longer merely policy concerns; they are becoming important questions of democratic legitimacy.

The 2026 youth mobilisation is particularly significant because it illustrates a changing model of political participation. Young citizens can now combine digital communication, satire, social-media networks and street mobilisation to challenge established institutions. This development should not simply be viewed as a threat to political authority. It can also be understood as evidence of an active citizenry demanding greater accountability. The central challenge before India is therefore not merely to preserve democracy in a formal sense but to deepen its quality.

India requires institutions that listen to citizens, governments that remain accountable, Opposition parties that participate constructively, political parties that provide greater opportunities to young people, and economic policies that convert demographic potential into meaningful employment.

The future of Indian democracy will depend substantially on the ability to reconcile political competition with institutional cooperation, economic growth with social inclusion, national ambition with federal diversity, and technological development with democratic responsibility.

A resilient democracy does not eliminate disagreement. It develops institutions capable of managing disagreement without allowing it to destroy social trust. India's democratic future will therefore depend not on the disappearance of political conflict but on the capacity of its institutions, political parties and citizens to transform conflict into constructive democratic competition.

References

1. Election Commission of India. (2024). General elections to Lok Sabha 2024.
2. Freedom House. (2026). Freedom in the World 2026: India.
3. International Labour Organization. (2024). India employment report 2024: Youth employment, education and skills. Institute for Human Development & International Labour Organization.
4. International Labour Organization. (2024). India employment report 2024: Youth employment, education and skills- Research repository.
5. Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India. (2026). Viksit Bharat Youth Parliament 2026. Press Information Bureau.
6. Press Information Bureau. (2026). Government initiatives relating to youth participation and nation-building. Government of India.
7. Reuters. (2026, July 25). India's Modi under pressure as youth protest leaders set more talks.
8. Reuters. (2026, August 11). Fitch affirms India's BBB- credit rating with stable outlook.
9. The Associated Press. (2026). A protest movement is challenging India's establishment: What to know about the "Cockroaches".
10. The Guardian. (2026, July 21). India's "Cockroach" movement protesters show no sign of backing down.
11. The Guardian. (2026, August 10). Police use teargas on young Indians as government jobs protest escalates.
12. Times of India. (2026, August 13). Parliament Monsoon Session concludes: Key takeaways from the final day of

Volume 01, Issue 02

13. Liu, C., & Zowghi, D. (2023). Citizen involvement in digital transformation: a systematic review and a framework. *Online Information Review*, 47(4), 644-660.
14. Mattila, H., & Nummi, P. (2022). The challenge of the digital public sphere: Finnish experiences of the role of social media in participatory planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 23(3), 406-422.
15. Misra, A., Misra, D. P., Mahapatra, S. S., & Biswas, S. (2018, May). Digital transformation model: analytic approach on participatory governance & community engagement in India. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research: Governance in the Data Age* (pp. 1-7).
16. Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. *Research policy*, 48(8), 103773.
17. Smith, B. G., Krishna, A., & Al-Sinan, R. (2019). Beyond slacktivism: Examining the entanglement between social media engagement, empowerment, and participation in activism. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 13(3), 182-196.
18. Suherlan, S. (2023). Digital technology transformation in enhancing public participation in democratic processes. *Technology and Society Perspectives (TACIT)*, 1(1), 10-17.
19. Theocharis, Y., & Van Deth, J. W. (2018). The continuous expansion of citizen participation: A new taxonomy. *European political science review*, 10(1), 139-163.